Case 1|8-17430-pmm Doc 270 Filed 04/27/22 Entered 04/27/22 16:17:36 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 12

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

| Case No. 18-17430-ELF |
|---------------------------------|
| |
| Philadelphia, PA |
| September 4, 2019 10:25 a.m. |
| |
| |

TRANSCRIPT OF CHAPTER 7 MOTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC L. FRANK UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor DAMIEN N. TANCREDI, ESQUIRE Sharonn E. Thomas: FLASTER GREENBERG, PC

1835 Market Street

Suite 1050

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Audio Operator: CHRISTOPHER CARUSO

Transcribed by: DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING, LLC

P.O. Box 129

Gibbsboro, New Jersey 08026

Office: (856) 435-7172 Fax: (856) 435-7124 Email: dianadoman@comcast.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

| Case 1 | 8-17430-pmm Doc 270 Filed 04/27/22 Entered 04/27/22 16:17:36 Document Page 2 of 12 | Desc Main |
|--------|---|-------------|
| | | 2 |
| 1 | <u>INDEX</u> | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>ARGUMENT</u> : | PAGE |
| 4 | Re: Objection to Debtor's Homestead Exemption | |
| 5 | By Mr. Tancredi | 3 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | OFFER OF PROOF: | PAGE |
| 8 | By Mr. Tancredi | 5 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | RULING BY THE COURT: | <u>PAGE</u> |
| 11 | By Judge Frank | 7 |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | COLLOQUY: | <u>PAGE</u> |
| 14 | Re: Status of case administration | |
| 15 | By Mr. Tancredi | 7 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Tancredi - Argument

1 (Court in session at 10:25 a.m.)

2 THE CLERK: All right, Number 1, Sharonn Thomas.

MR. TANCREDI: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. TANCREDI: Damien Tancredi of Flaster Greenberg on behalf of the Debtor Sharonn Thomas. I was prepared to present Ms. Thomas and explain to the Court how Ms. Thomas resides in the Graypebble Circle address in New Jersey. I would ask the Court to deny this motion for lack of prosecution though.

THE COURT: No, I don't think I'll do that, but I what I will do is since there's no one here, I'll accept an offer of proof. But before we even do that, I guess what I'm wondering is that I see the case has quite a long history, and Mr. Clayton has been a regular participant. Have you had any discussions with him?

MR. TANCREDI: I did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And any particular reason why you think he's not here?

MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor, I -- I did have discussions with Mr. Clayton and I told him our position on the exemptions motion. Without getting into the substance, we've started to bridge towards potentially trying to resolve the major creditor in this case being Mr. Clayton's client, and his stance to me was well, let's see what happens with the motion.

Tancredi - Argument

I asked him to withdraw it.

He said no, and he said let's see where it goes. I do recognize that it was re-listed from yesterday to today, but Mr. Clayton filed an amended notice and I -- I do know in Mr. Clayton's motion he states, "If I can't come to Court, I still think you should grant the motion." And --

THE COURT: Oh, is that right? That --

MR. TANCREDI: There's a --

THE COURT: -- that I missed. That's in there?

MR. TANCREDI: There's a footnote.

THE COURT: Wow.

MR. TANCREDI: And this is an exemptions motion, Your Honor. This isn't something you can see and know, and we don't even -- we filed a reply, but a reply's not necessary under this Court's rules, we're entitled to a hearing. I --

THE COURT: Well, let me give you the choice. We can do this one of two ways. We can go forward, you'll give me an offer of proof with some which -- the facts of which I strongly suspect will support your position, in which case I can rule and presumably in your favor. The alternative is to reach out to find out when Mr. Clayton's here and avoid the potential for a motion for reconsideration based on some understandable circumstance as to why he's not here. Your -- your call, I'll do it either way.

MR. TANCREDI: I would take door number one, Your

Tancredi - Offer of Proof 5 Honor, and submit an offer of proof --1 2 THE COURT: That's fine. MR. TANCREDI: -- and if Mr. Clayton wants to file a 3 motion for reconsideration, that's incumbent upon the Court if 4 5 they'd like to grant it or not. THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. 6 7 MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor, should I call Ms. Thomas? THE COURT: No, that's fine --8 MR. TANCREDI: The standard -- may proceed by 9 proffer. 10 THE COURT: -- she's here, she's been under oath 11 12 before, I'll -- there's nobody here so I'll accept an offer of What would she -- tell me what she would testify to. 13 MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor, Ms. Thomas would testify 14 consistent with her certification and opposition that was filed 15 16 on the Docket at Number 165-1, Ms. Thomas would testify that on 17 the petition date, her permanent residence was 49 Graypebble Circle, Sicklerville, New Jersey, 0808 -- 08081. Ms. Thomas 18 19 would testify that she purchased that property which I'll refer to as "the New Jersey property" --20 THE COURT: Mm-hmm. 21 MR. TANCREDI: -- in 1998, and has resided there ever 22 since. Ms. Thomas would testify that she holds the New Jersey 23 property out as her permanent home. On the -- Ms. Thomas would 24 25 testify that on the petition date, Ms. Thomas considered the

Ruling by the Court / Colloquy

New Jersey property her permanent home. She would also testify

2 that she holds a driver's -- a valid driver's license in New

Jersey with the address stated as the New Jersey property on

4 the face of the driver's license.

Ms. Thomas would testify that her personal banking accounts identified the New Jersey property as her residence — as the account address. Ms. Thomas would testify that her federal tax returns for the years 1999 through 2018 identify the New Jersey property as her address. Ms. Thomas would testify that she has filed a New Jersey resident tax return each year since 1999, all of which have alleged the New Jersey property as her address.

Ms. Thomas -- Ms. Thomas would testify that she files non-resident tax -- or she files Pennsylvania income tax returns and indicates that she's not a resident in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Ms. Thomas would testify that she intends to remain in the home and use it as her personal property -- as her primary residence.

Ms. Thomas would further testify that temporarily the home is being repaired and she intends, once the home is repaired, to reside there again permanently. For those reasons, Your Honor, I would ask that this Court make a finding that the Homestead Exemption is valid and deny the movant's objection.

THE COURT: All right, with that as the record, I

Ruling by the Court / Colloquy

will overrule the objection to the exemptions, and on actually

7

2 a second reason, perhaps we were gilding the lily here, to some extent I could have ruled -- could have ruled in your favor by 3

the mere absence of the movant or the objector. The burden of 4

proof is on the objector --

claiming the exemptions.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TANCREDI: It absolutely is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- under Rule 4003(c). You know, there is a point of view held by a very respected Bankruptcy Judge, Barry Russell -- he's written this Bankruptcy Evidence Manual, there's lots of -- certainly lots of Bankruptcy Judges use, he thinks the Rules Committee got it backwards, that the burden of proof on an objection exemption should be on the Debtor

But he's never prevailed on that and the Rules Committee has never modified Rule 4003, so I'll apply the rules, the burden is on -- on the movants. That's an alternative reason why I will rule in the Debtor's favor on this. That said, and since you're here, can you just tell me generally from the Debtor's perspective what's happening in case administration?

MR. TANCREDI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How are things going with you and the Trustee basically?

MR. TANCREDI: We have started and concluded -- we have concluded the 341 meeting. The Trustee has retained Mr. settlement.

Colloguy

Peter Meltzer who is to serve as Special Counsel to the Estate in pursuing a malpractice action against Mr. Joshua Thomas. We are optimistic that the malpractice action will bring sufficient assets into the Estate that would allow us to either pay the claim of Brown & Thomas in full, or to effectuate a

We have not ruled out the possibility -- and I guess this is a good time to preview this -- of potentially requesting that Your Honor reconsider your previous ruling that the retro -- the automatic stay is permitted to be retroactively annulled. It is clear from the facts of this case that the malpractice of Mr. Thomas prevented Ms. Thomas -- no relation -- from having her day in Court in front of the New Jersey Arbitration Panel.

Ms. Thomas had valid counter-claims that were extinguished as a result of her being unaware of the proceeding and Mr. Thomas failing to appear, and we are confident that if this case were to have proceeded to a New Jersey Arbitration, it might have obviated the need for bankruptcy at all because we believe that there would have been a judgment in favor of Ms. Thomas and against Brown & Thomas on the counter-claims.

THE COURT: It's a hard road to go.

MR. TANCREDI: I --

THE COURT: I can't say it's an impossible road, but it's not an easy path.

9

Colloquy MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor, and I recognize the 1 2 uphill --THE COURT: Rule 60(b) in circumstances like this 3 would be tough. 4 5 MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor, and I recognize the uphill battle and I -- and I also recognize the sensitivity in attempt 6 at collaterally estopping -- collaterally attacking your 7 decision, especially under the circumstances but --8 THE COURT: It wouldn't be collaterally attacking if 9 you're asking me to --10 11 MR. TANCREDI: Yeah --12 THE COURT: -- to undo my own order. MR. TANCREDI: -- I guess it's not a collateral 13 14 attack. THE COURT: It's not a collateral attack. If you 15 16 went to somebody else to undo my order, that's a collateral 17 attack. MR. TANCREDI: And that's one of the reasons, Your 18 19 Honor, why we decided to keep this case in Philadelphia and not 20 move it to Camden. And I understand it's -- it's a tough road to hoe, but also if there's ever been a sympathetic set of 21

THE COURT: At this point, does the Trustee or the Debtor know the extent of Mr. Thomas's insurance?

circumstances, this may be it.

22

23

24

25

MR. TANCREDI: We are in the process of obtaining

Colloquy 10 that information. 1 2 THE COURT: That might be --MR. TANCREDI: That --3 THE COURT: -- a significant factor in determining 4 5 strategy for everybody, don't you think? MR. TANCREDI: It's a game-changer --6 7 THE COURT: Yeah. MR. TANCREDI: -- because we believe that an 8 insurance company may look at this and roll over and say yeah, 9 what -- what is it going to cost us. 10 THE COURT: It just depends how much is there though. 11 MR. TANCREDI: If -- I -- he's -- he's licensed in 12 the Commonwealth and I understand there's mandatory insurance 13 14 limits. I am not going to profess myself as an expert on malpractice insurance policies, but I know every time I fill 15 16 out my -- my statement, I have to verify that I have proper 17 insurance. THE COURT: I guess the other factor from my 18 19 perspective -- I'll say I know less than the parties about 20 everything, but what has to do with Mr. Clayton's client, the extent to which his client is willing to deal --21 22 MR. TANCREDI: He expressed --

THE COURT: -- in the interest of avoiding litigation.

23

24

25

MR. TANCREDI: He expressed an appetite for that and,

Colloguy

I mean, Mr. Clayton's client is going to have some skin in the game soon given the fact that we intend to serve a Rule 2004 examination on him and it's going to become an issue where we think this case should be resolved. I mean, what we also state is that Ms. Thomas still owns an interest in Brown & Thomas, LLC which is now property of the Debtor's Estate and that needs to be valued.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm, all right, I understand. That's a lot of -- a number of different chess pieces on the board here.

MR. TANCREDI: This is a complex case.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know if I mentioned this the last time everybody was in Court, but at least if there's a consensus that mediation would be helpful, you should let me know.

MR. TANCREDI: Certainly, Your Honor, and I've -- we've successfully --

THE COURT: I don't -- I tend not to compel it.

MR. TANCREDI: -- we've successfully mediated cases I think before Your Honor with Judge Chan before and it worked out very well and we may ask, if we can get to a point where we're not a thousand miles away, we may ask the Court to try to -- to bridge that --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TANCREDI: -- to bridge a smaller gap.

THE COURT: All right. All right, well thank you for 1 the update. I'll enter an order overruling the objection to 2 3 the Debtor's exemptions and we'll see where it goes from here. MR. TANCREDI: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Good luck. 6 MR. TANCREDI: Thank you. 7 (Proceeding concluded at 10:36 a.m.) * * * 8 9 10 11 CERTIFICATION 12 13 I, Diane Gallagher, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the 14 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 15 above-entitled matter. 16 17 18 /s/Diane Gallagher 04/27/22 19 DIANE GALLAGHER DATE 20 DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING 21 22 23 24 25